

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/529,004	03/24/2005	Yasuo Nishi	KOY-0047	KOY-0047 8791	
23413 75	590 01/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002		BOECKMANN, JASON J			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3752		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/529,004	NISHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason J. Boeckmann	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety received by the Statute of the period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timute apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	 I. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). 			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
, —	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or €	election requirement				
olami(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or t	sicolon requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-192.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ad.			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot receive	····			

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _

Attachment(s)

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- I. Species I, directed towards figures 11, 12A, 14A, 14B, 19 and 20.
- II. Species II, directed towards figure 15

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

If applicant elects either species I or Species II a further sub-species election is required.

- a. Sub Sub-species b, directed towards figure 16A.
- b. Sub-species c, directed towards figure 16B.
- c. Sub-species d, directed towards figure 16C.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to also elect a single sub-species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Page 3

The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species and subspecies, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species in the following manor:

Species I, claims 1-7, 9-13.

Species II, claim 8.

Sub-species a, all.

Sub-species b, all.

Sub-species c, all.

Sub-species d, all.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Application/Control Number: 10/529,004

Art Unit: 3752

The special technical feature of species I is the nozzle edge which is at 90 degrees form the centerline of the nozzle, which is not the case in species II.

The special technical feature of sub-species b is the nozzle inlet including the tapered passage, which is not the case in sub-species a, c or d.

The special technical feature of sub-species c is the nozzle inlet including the tapered passage, which is not the case in sub-species a, b or d.

The special technical feature of sub-species d is the nozzle inlet including the tapered passage, which is not the case in sub-species a, b or c.

A telephone call was made to Daniel Lent on 12/27/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Examiner would like to note that no detailed description of figures 16a to 16c is included in the disclosure. It is unclear to the examiner at this point weather or not figures 16a to 16c apply to each nozzle tip previously disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,004 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Boeckmann whose telephone number is (571) 272-2708. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5:00 m-f, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JJB JJB 1-17-06

C Dayld A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examine
Group 3700